

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON VA 22201-4714

COPY MAILED

APR 0 8 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Pruche et al.

Application No. 10/069,460 : ON PETITION

Filed: 11 June, 2002 : Atty Docket No. 2365-35 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on 18 October, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 8 July, 2004, for failure to timely file a reply to the final Office action mailed on 7 April.

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A <u>grantable</u> petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

2004, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. The filing of the present petition precedes the mailing of Notice of Abandonment.

On 18 October, 2004, the present petition was filed, accompanied by a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment and an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) indicated as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

The application will be referred to Technology Center Art Unit 1616 for consideration of the RCE, amendment, and IDS.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

N'11000

Douglas I. Wood Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions